Allotment of Government Accommodation to Shri Hukmdeo Narayan Yadav

3131. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA-
SHRI SHAMIM HASHMI;'

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that former Member of Parliament Shri Hukm deo Narayan Yadav has applied for Government accommodation in Delhi being the son of a freedom fighter, if so, when the application was sub mitted for the same and the decision taken thereon;

(b) whether it is also a fact that his father late Shri Rajbir Yadav as well as his eight uncles and four cou sin brothers have been freedom figh ters;

(e) many aged persons out of them and widows of the deceased persons are very old and sick and they stav with Shri Yadav for getting medical treatment in Delhi, what is the justification for not allotting him Government accommodation; and

(d) whether it is also a fact that the grounds on which other persons have been allotted accommodations, whether he has been refused on the same grounds; if so, what are the reasons therefo'r, whether Government prooose to allot Government accommodation immediately, if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVE LOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH); (a) to-(d) Shri Hukmdeo-Narayan Yadav had applied for allot ment of General Pool accommodation under the Freedom Fighter Scheme in December, 1985. His request was considered in consultation with the Ministrv of Home. Affairs and rejec ted in June. 1986. Allotment of Gene ral Pool accommodation to Freedom Fighters is made for their treatment in Delhi for a maximum period of two years on the basis of the recommendations of Ministry of Home Affairs. Request of Shri Yadav could not be accepted as he'himself is not • a Freedom Fighter.

Problems of women iron loaders

3132. SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA; Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state-.

(a) whether it is a fact that an enquiry was conducted by an officer of labour department into the problems faced by women iron ore loaders in Railway slidings in Bihar and Orissa in July, 1987;

(b) if so, when the report was submitted and what are the main findings;

(c) whether the report was .sent to Government of Bihar and MMTC for comments; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI BINDESHWARI DUBEY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The report was submitted in July, 1987. The main findings of the report relate to violations of certain provision of the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act, 1970.

(c) The report was sent to the State Governments of Bihar and Orissa and the Ministry of Commerce.

(d) In the light of these com ments the matter was further exami ned, instructions have been issued to the Central Industrial Relation's Machinery for proper enforcement of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 in, the areas concerned.